REMARKS

By this amendment, claims 1, 2 and 10-12 have been amended. Claims 3-4 and 13-14 have been canceled without prejudice. Claim 21 has been added. After entry of the present amendments, claims 1, 2, 5-12 and 15-21 remain pending in the present application for examination.

§ 103 Rejections

Claims 1-20 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly obvious over U.S. Patent No. 6,758,473 ("Seelig") in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,496,235 ("Driscoll"). Seelig was filed on September 16, 2002, and Applicants do not concede that Seelig qualifies as prior art under Sections 102 or 103, and reserve their right to swear behind it at a later date.

Record of Substance of Interview

The Applicants note with appreciation the interview with Examiner Nguyen and Examiner Pezzuto on April 20, 2007. Pursuant to the discussions in the interview, the Applicants have amended independent claims 1, 10, and 11 and added new claim 21 to clarify the invention.

The Applicants agree with the Interview Summary Sheet provided at the end of the interview in which the Examiners acknowledged the proposed amendments to claims 1, 10, and 11 and new claim 21 distinguish over Driscoll or Seelig and the other art of record.

Amendments To Claims

Applicant has amended claims 1, 10 and 11 to include the element that a randomly selected game outcome determines the selection of the video image and the video image is independent of the position of the flat panel display. This subject matter was formerly incorporated in dependent claims 3-4 and 13-14 which have been canceled. Neither Driscoll nor Seelig disclose the claimed physical structure that is set forth in amended claims 1, 10 and 11. During the interview on April 20, 2007, the Examiners acknowledged the fact that amended claims 1, 10 and 11 distinguish over Driscoll and Seelig and the art of record. Accordingly, Applicant believes claims 1, 10 and 11 and their dependents are allowable.

New Claim

Applicant has also added new claim 21 which includes the element of a controller for selecting one of a plurality of video images on a moveable flat panel video display portraying a game outcome randomly selected from a plurality of possible outcomes. During the April 20,

2007 Interview, the Examiners acknowledged that new claim 21 was allowable over Driscoll and Seelig.

Conclusion

It is the Applicants' belief that all the pending claims are now in condition for allowance, and thus reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested. If there are any matters which may be resolved or clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney at the number indicated.

It is believed that no other fees are due; however, should any additional fees be required (except for payment of the issue fee), the Commissioner is authorized to deduct the fees from Deposit Account No. 50-4181/247079-00221USPT.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 21, 2007

Wayne L. Tang

Reg. No. 36,028

NIXON PEABODY LLP

161 N. Clark Street, 48th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60601-3213

(312) 425-3900 - Telephone

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS